THERMAL DECOMPOSITION OF PEROXYDISULFATE IN AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS OF TOLUENE. OXIDATION OF BENZYL RADICALS BY NITROBENZENE.

Manfred K. Eberhardt, Puerto Rico Cancer Center, University of Puerto Rico, Medical Sciences Campus, G.P.O. Box 5067, San Juan, Puerto Rico, 00936

The oxidation of benzyl radicals by nitrobenzene is described. A mechanism involving formation of an adduct followed by homolytic cleavage to PhCH₂0• radical is proposed.

The oxidation of radicals with nitro compounds in aqueous as well as non-aqueous solutions has received considerable attention. It has been recognized that many of these oxidations proceed via the intermediate formation of an adduct (1-8). Schulte-Frohlinde and coworkers(1) have shown by pulse radiolysis that the adduct formed in the reaction of monoalkoxyalkyl radicals with tetranitromethane disappears unimolecularly via heterolytic rupture of the C-0 bond (S_N1 reaction).

We wish to present some experimental results which suggest that in the oxidation of benzyl radicals with nitrobenzene the adduct decomposes via a homolytic /3-cleavage to give benzyloxy radicals, which in turn disproportionate to benzaldehyde and benzyl alcohol.

These results are shown in Table I. The possible reaction steps in this oxidation are summanrized in eqs. (1)-(5):

eq.	(1)	PhCH ₂ . + PhNO ₂ \longrightarrow PhCH ₂ ⁺ $^{-}$ O-N-Ph \longrightarrow PhCH ₂ -O-N-Ph
		I II
eq.	(2)	$PhCH_2^+ - 0 \rightarrow PhCH_2OH + HO \rightarrow PhCH_2OH + PhCH$
eq.	(3)	0.0 PhCH ₂ -0-N-Ph + H ₂ 0> PhCH ₂ OH + HO-N-Ph
eq.	(4)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \text{PhCH}_2 - 0 - \text{N-Ph} & \longrightarrow & \text{PhCH}_2 0 \\ \end{array} \rightarrow & \text{PhCH}_2 0 \\ \end{array} \rightarrow & \text{PhNO} \end{array}$
eq.	(5)	2 $PhCH_20$ $PhCHO + PhCH_2OH$

The reaction of toluene with SO_4 , to give $PhCH_2$, radicals has been discussed in detail (9-10). Based on the rate constants(11) under our conditions the SO_4 , reacts practically quantitative with $PhCH_3$ and not with $PhNO_2$. Whether the zwitter ion structure I or the adduct II (eq. (1) are intermediates we only expect primary formation of benzyl alcohol and no benzaldehyde. According to the two mechanisms (eq. (2) and (3) benzaldehyde must be formed via a secondary oxidation of benzyl alcohol. This appears unlikely due to the large excess of toluene and small percentage of $S_2O_8^{2-}$ decomposition.

Our results, however, show considerable benzaldehyde formation with a PhCHO/PhCH₂OH ratio of greater than 1 even at very low peroxydisulfate decomposition (Table I). We therefore suggest the formation of adduct II, followed by homolytic cleavage (eq. 4) to give benzyloxy radical, which then disproportionates to benzaldehyde and benzyl alcohol.

3663

In Table II we show results of competition kinetic experiments between toluene and benzyl alcohol:

eq. (6)
$$PhCH_3 + SO_4 \xrightarrow{k_6} PhCH_2 + SO_4^{2-} + H^+$$

eq. (7) $PhCH_2OH + SO_4 \xrightarrow{k_7} PhCHOH + SO_4^{2-} + H^+$

From these results we can see that the two rate constants k_6 and k_7 are of the same order of magnitude. This is as expected based on the results by Neta et al.(11). These workers determined the rate constants of a number of substituted benzenes with SO_4 . by pulse radiolysis. The large amount of benzaldehyde formed cannot be due to secondary oxidation of benzyl alcohol. Only a small amount of secondary oxidation takes place and this is possibly one of the reasons for the PhCHO/PhCH₂OH ratio of greater than 1. Another possibility is oxidation of PhCH₂O- by $S_2O_8^{2-}$ (eq. 9 below).

The results in Table II show with increasing benzyl alcohol concentration an increase in benzaldehyde, but the yield of bibenzyl stays constant. This may seem at first sight surprising. Results on the oxidation of benzyl alcohol by peroxydisulfate show a quantitative oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde via a chain reaction (12, 13):

eq. (8) PhCH₂OH + SO₄.
$$\longrightarrow$$
 PhCHOH or PhCH₂O.
eq. (9) PhCHOH or PhCH₂O. + S₂O₈²⁻ \longrightarrow PhCHO + SO₄²⁻ + SO₄. + H⁴
eq. (10) PhCH₃ + SO₄. \longrightarrow PhCH₂. \longrightarrow PhCH₂CH₂Ph

Due to this chain reaction the yield of bibenzyl is affected only insignificantly. Reactions of alcohol with SO_4 . are known to give alkoxy radicals(14), but recent results by Arnoldi et al.(15) on alkenols may lead one to suggest the \propto - hydroxybenzyl radical as intermediate in the chain oxidation.

PhCH₂. radicals do not add to the ring of simple aromatic systems like benzene, but do react with some polycyclic aromatics(16) (anthracene, pyrene). This is contrary to the reactivity of alkyl radicals and is due to the greater stability of benzyl radicals. As expected on the basis of the frontier orbital theory PhCH₂. radicals attack nitrobenzene at the 0 atoms of the nitro group, which have the highest HOMO coefficients(17). Our results (Exp. No. 5, 8, 9, Table I) show that nitrobenzene is a very inefficient oxidizing agent. Dimerization of benzyl radicals competes with oxidation even at high nitrobenzene concentrations. A small rate constant was noted by us(18) previously in the oxidation of hydroxycyclohexadienyl radicals by nitrobenzene. Benkeser and coworkers(19) found that the stable triphenylmethyl radical does not react at all with nitrobenzene. If the oxidation would proceed via direct electron transfer the difference in reactivity between benzyl and triphenylmetyl radicals should be the opposite from the one observed. The formation of an adduct however is consistent with the results. Support for reaction(4) may be deduced from spin trapping experiments. The reverse of reaction(4) is the well known spin trapping reaction between alkoxy radicals and nitro-sobenzene, which is known to lead to unstable adducts(20).

In summary our results support formation of an adduct followed by homolytic cleavage to a PhCH $_2^{0}$ radical, but leave open the possibility of both mechanisms (homolytic and hete-rolytic cleavage) occuring simultaneously.

<u>Acknowledgement</u>: This work was supported, in part, by grants from the National Sciences Foundation RIM 7817215 and the National Cancer Institute CA16598-08 and 1RO CA28894-03. We thank Dr. A.A. Román-Franco for this interest and support.

References:

- 1. Eibenberger, J., Schulte-Frohlinde, D., Steenken, S., J. Phys. Chem. 1980, 84, 704.
- 2. Norman, R.O.C., Pritchett, R.J., J. Chem. Soc. B., 1967, 378.
- 3. McMillan, M., Norman, R.O.C., J. Chem. Soc., 1969, 590.
- 4. Anderson, N.H., McMillan, M., Norman, R.O.C., J. Chem. Soc., 1970, 1075.
- 5. Cowley, D.J., Sutcliffe, L.H., Chem. Commun., 1968, 201.
- Griffith, W.E., Longster, G.F., Myatt, J., Todd, P.F., J. Chem. Soc. B., <u>1966</u>, 1130; 1967, 533.
- 7. Janzen, E.G., Gerlock, J.L., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 3108.
- 8. Chandri, S.A., J. Phys. Chem., 1972, 76, 1279.
- 9. Walling, C. and Camaioni, D.M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 1603.
- 10. Eberhardt, M.K., J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 832.
- 11. Neta, P., Madhavan, V., Zemel, H., Fessenden, R.W., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 99, 163.
- 12. A 500 ml deoxygenated aqueous solution containing 2.5 x 10^{-3} moles $S_20_8^{2-}$ and 2.88 x 10^{-3} moles PhCH₂OH heated at 80°C for 30 min (97% $S_20_8^{2-}$ and 80% PhCH₂OH consumed) gave 2.23 x 10^{-3} moles PhCHO (97% yield).
- 13. Bacon, R.G.R. and Doggart, J.R., J. Chem. Soc., 1960, 332.
- Ledwith, A., Russell, P.J., Sutcliffe, L.H., Chem. Commun., <u>1971</u>, 964; J. Chem. Soc. Perkins Trans Trans. II, 1973, 630.
- 15. Arnoldi, C., Citterio, A., Minisci, F., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Tans. II, 1983, 531.
- 16. Eberhardt, M.K., Radiochimica Acta, 1967, 8, 59.
- 17. Eberhardt, M.K. and Yoshida, M., J. Phys. Chem., 1973, 77, 539.
- Eberhardt, M.K., Martínez, G.A., Rivera, J.I., Fuentes-Aponte, A., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 7069.
- Benkeser, R.A., Gosnell, R.B. and Schroeder, W., J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>1957</u>, 79, 2339; Benkeser, R.A. and Schroeder, W. ibid. <u>1958</u>, 80, 3314.
- Janzen, E.G. in "Free Radicals in Biology". W.A. Pryor Ed. Academic Press, New York, 1980, quoted on page 127.

TAB	LΕ	Ι

Reactants (in moles x 10 ³) and conditions ¹ Products (moles x 10 ⁵)								
EXP. NO.	PhCH ₃	PhNO2	TIME (MIN)	-s ₂ 0 ₈ ²⁻ (%)	PhCHO	PhCH ₂ OH	(PhCH ₂) ₂	PhCHO PhCH ₂ OH
1	4.7	0	30	10	Trace	Trace	13.5	
2	2.35	0	30	11	13.6	4.2	0	
3	2.35	0	30	13	Trace	Trace	12.8	
4	4.7	4.85	20	n.d.	4.2	3.2	Trace	1.31
5	4.7	4.85	30	12	10.0	7.2	2.0	1.39
6	4.7	4.85	40	14	13.1	8.9	2.5	1.47
7	4.7	4.85	60	27	22.5	13.9	3.8	1.62
8	4.7	2.42	30		8.4	6.3	4.0	1.33
9	4.7	1.21	30		6.4	5.2	6.7	1.23

1) All reactions were carried out in 500 ml solutions (5 x 10^{-3} M in $K_2S_20_8$). All solutions except No. 2 were deoxygenated by bubbling argon through the solution (prior to the addition of the organic reactants) for 30 min. In Exp. No. 2 the solution was saturated with oxygen for 30 min. Only in Exp. No. 2 did we observe formation of cresols (2.8 x 10^{-5} moles ortho, 1.2 x 10^{-5} moles meta, and 3.0 x 10^{-5} moles para). In Exp. No. 4 no detectable peroxydisulfate decomposition was observed.

TABLE II

		Products					
	Reactants (in mole	es) ¹		(in moles $\times 10^5$)			
EXP. NO.	PhCH ₃	PhCH ₂ OH	-s ₂ 0 ₈ ²⁻ (%)	Unreacted PhCH ₂ OH	PhCHO	(PhCH ₂) ₂	
1	2.35×10^{-3}	0	13		Trace ²	12.8	
2	2.35×10^{-3}	19.2×10^{-5}	11	13.4	2.7	13.8	
3	2.35×10^{-3}	28.8×10^{-5}	14	19.4	3.9	14.3	
4	2.35×10^{-3}	38.4×10^{-5}	13	25.7	5.0	13.2	
5	2.35×10^{-3}	48.0×10^{-5}	14	29.1	6.0	13.8	
6	2.35×10^{-3}	96.0 x 10^{-5}	14	69.3	14.5	14.0	
7	4.7×10^{-3}	0	10		Trace ²	13.1	
8	4.7 x 10^{-3}	19.2×10^{-5}	10	12.6	1.4	15.1	

1) All reactions were carried out in 500 ml solutions (5 x 10^{-3} M in K₂S₂O₈) deoxygenated (argon bubbling for 30 min.) and heated at 80°C for 30 min. 2) In Exp. No. 1 and 7 trace amounts of PhCHO and PhCH₂OH are formed. This is due to traces of oxygen still present in the solutions.

(Received in USA 17 February 1984)